

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Response to "Are fluids resuscitation the "Keyser Soze" of acute kidney injury in trauma patients?"

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4ch5h4n4>

Journal

Critical care (London, England), 23(1)

ISSN

1364-8535

Authors

Harrois, Anatole
Soyer, Benjamin
Gauss, Tobias
et al.

Publication Date

2019-02-01

DOI

10.1186/s13054-019-2344-6

Peer reviewed

LETTER

Open Access



Response to “Are fluids resuscitation the “Keyser Soze” of acute kidney injury in trauma patients?”

Anatole Harrois^{1*} , Benjamin Soyer¹, Tobias Gauss², Sophie Hamada¹, Mathieu Raux³, Jacques Duranteau¹ and for the Traumabase[®] Group

See related letter by Jamme and Salem, <https://ccforum.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13054-019-2333-9>

We appreciate the interest expressed by Dr. Jamme and Ben Hadj Salem in our study recently published in critical care reporting the prevalence and the risk factors of acute kidney injury (AKI) in a multicentre cohort of 3111 trauma patients [1]. The issue they raised was the lack of data on fluid resuscitation, especially regarding the amount of crystalloids and colloids. We share with the authors the view that the choice of fluid is a critical issue to prevent AKI in trauma patients. Indeed, recent studies have called into question the safety of colloids [2] in trauma patients as well as the safety of NaCl 0.9% in ICU patients [3]. Our database provides data on pre-hospital fluid resuscitation for the whole study cohort as well as data on 24-h fluid resuscitation for hemorrhagic shock patients ($n = 355$, 11%).

Nineteen percent of the 3111 study patients received colloids (median volume of 500 mL [IQR 500–750]) while 94% of the 3111 study patients received crystalloids (median volume of 500 mL [IQR 500–1000]) during the prehospital period. When the volume of colloids or crystalloids administered in the prehospital setting was forced into the predictive model of AKI (all stages of RIFLE classification), odd ratios were respectively (per 1000 mL of solution) 1.34 (CI 0.85–2.12, $p = 0.21$) and 1.11 (CI 0.84–1.45, $p = 0.47$). Thus, prehospital fluid resuscitation does not provide additional value to early predict AKI. Longer period of exposure might be worth considering to capture the potential nephrotoxic effect

of fluid on renal function; however, as our main objective was to early predict AKI after trauma, we believe it would make the model less relevant.

Eighty-seven percent of hemorrhagic shock patients received colloids over the first 24 h of care (median volume of 1000 mL [IQR 500–2000]). Though only 9.6% of them received a dose higher than 33 mL/kg, we cannot rule out that colloids caused renal toxicity in our study cohort. In the meantime, patients received a median volume of 3500 mL [IQR 2000–6000] of crystalloids. Our database does not distinguish the various types of crystalloids and provides no data on hyperchloremia to indirectly assess the administered volume of NaCl 0.9%. However, at the time of the study, the three centres were using, though not exclusively, NaCl 0.9% for fluid resuscitation. Given the large amount of administered crystalloids in hemorrhagic shock patients, we cannot exclude that chloride-rich fluids worsened renal aggression.

As shown in Fig. 1, colloid use has declined over the last few years while crystalloids remain the cornerstone for fluid resuscitation in trauma patients. In order to prevent nephrotoxicity related to NaCl 0.9%, isotonic-balanced crystalloids have been proposed for fluid resuscitation, including for patients with traumatic brain injury [4]. However, further studies are necessary to assess if this practice translates to better outcome in trauma patients. We are about to start such a study (NCT03630224).

* Correspondence: harroisanatole@yahoo.fr

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Bicêtre Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Sud, Université Paris Saclay, AP-HP, 78 Rue du Général Leclerc, 94275 Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.




Fig. 1 Amounts of colloids and crystalloids administered during the first 24 h in patients with hemorrhagic shock from the study cohort [1] (2011–2014, $n = 355$) and in 129 patients with hemorrhagic shock managed in the same 3 trauma centres over 14 months in 2017–2018. Hemorrhagic shock is defined by the transfusion of at least 4 units of packed red blood cells within the first 6 h. Boxes are represented as median, interquartile range (upper and lower box limit) and 5–95% percentile. * $p < 0.001$ (Mann-Whitney test)

Acknowledgements

Collaborating author names of the TRAUMABASE Group: **Catherine Paugam-Burtz**, MD, PhD (Université Denis Diderot and Beaujon University Hospital, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Nord-Val-De-Seine, Clichy, AP-HP, France); **Romain Pirracchio**, MD, PhD (Université Paris Descartes and Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, APHP, Paris, France); **Anne Godier**, MD, PhD (Université Paris Descartes and Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, APHP, Paris, France); **Sylvain Ausset**, MD (Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Hôpital d'Instruction des armées Percy, Clamart, France); **Eric Meaudre**, MD (Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Military Hospital, Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Sainte-Anne); **Thomas Geeraerts**, MD, PhD (Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care, University Hospital of Toulouse, University Toulouse 3-Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France); **Nathalie Delhayé**, Sorbonne Université, AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière Charles Foix, Département d'Anesthésie Réanimation, Paris, France; **Bernard Vigué**, Université Paris Sud, Université Paris Saclay, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Bicêtre Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Sud, Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France.

Funding

No source of funding

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

Authors' contributions

AH and JD contributed to the study concept and design. AH, BS, SH, MR and TG contributed to the data acquisition. AH, SH, BS and JD contributed to the data analysis. AH, BS, SH, MR, TG and JD contributed to the data interpretation. AH, BS and JD contributed to the drafting. AH, BS, SH, MR, TG and JD contributed to the critical revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The TraumaBase® group obtained approval for this study, including waived informed consent from the Institutional Review Board (Comité pour la

Protection des Personnes, Paris VI-Pitié-Salpêtrière, France). The database was approved by the Advisory Committee for Information Processing in Health Research (Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement de l'Information en matière de Recherche dans le Domaine de la Santé), and the French National Commission on Computing and Liberty (Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté).

Consent for publication

The manuscript does not contain any individual person's data in any form.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Bicêtre Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Sud, Université Paris Saclay, AP-HP, 78 Rue du Général Leclerc, 94275 Le Kremlin Bicêtre, France. ²Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, AP-HP, Beaujon, Hôpitaux Universitaires Paris Nord Val de Seine, 100 Avenue du Général Leclerc, 92110 Clichy, France. ³Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, INSERM, UMRS1158 Neurophysiologie Respiratoire Expérimentale et Clinique, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière Charles Foix, Sorbonne Université, AP-HP, 47-83 Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013 Paris, France.

Received: 23 January 2019 Accepted: 5 February 2019

Published online: 19 February 2019

References

1. Harrois A, Soyer B, Gauss T, Hamada S, Raux M, Duranteau J, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for acute kidney injury among trauma patients: a multicenter cohort study. *Crit Care*. 2018;22:344.

2. Qureshi SH, Rizvi SI, Patel NN, Murphy GJ. Meta-analysis of colloids versus crystalloids in critically ill, trauma and surgical patients. *Br J Surg*. 2016;103:14–26.
3. Semler MW, Self WH, Wanderer JP, Ehrenfeld JM, Wang L, Byrne DW, et al. Balanced crystalloids versus saline in critically ill adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378:829–39.
4. Roquilly A, Loutrel O, Cinotti R, Rosenczweig E, Flet L, Mahe PJ, et al. Balanced versus chloride-rich solutions for fluid resuscitation in brain-injured patients: a randomised double-blind pilot study. *Crit Care*. 2013;17:R77.